## A Brief Report on the Students Satisfaction Survey (SSS) for the year 2019-20

The questionnaire suggested by NAAC was converted into a Google form questionnaire and shared to all chairpersons for further sharing it to the students. The form contained 21 questions, with one question each on gender of the candidate, the subject area and the degree programme they are enrolled in.

The responses werereceived from 932 students, of whom two-thirds (66.1\%) were girl students. Similarly, almost all of them ( $97 \%$ ) were enrolled for the master's degree programme and few of them in Diploma and doctoral studies. Response by the Science faculty students accounted for almost half (49.2\%). Followed by those studying Commerce ( $21 \%$ ); Social Sciences (14.4\%); languages (10.5\%); law (3.1\%); and the remaining shared between the other faculty. The next six questions were about the teaching learning process in the university.

| 1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 45.00 \\ 40.00 \\ 35.00 \\ 30.00 \\ 25.00 \\ 20.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 10.00 \\ 5.00 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 39.91 <br> 응 $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |  |  | 5.58 $\square$ <br>  | Since the year was marked by COVID lockdown, not all the syllabus was covered in the class (physical mode). However, two-thirds of the students reported that more than $70 \%$ of the syllabus was covered in the class. However, nearly $6 \%$ also reported that syllabus covered in the classrooms was below $30 \%$. But, this was made good in the online classes that were conducted in the lockdown. |
| 2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 60.00 \\ 50.00 \\ 40.00 \\ 30.00 \\ 20.00 \\ 10.00 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 40.13 <br>  | 55.47 <br>  | $\stackrel{\substack{2 \\ \text { 힌 }}}{3.11}$ |  |  | $95.6 \%$ of the students reported that $96 \%$ of the teachers engage the class with satisfactory and thorough preparation. <br> However, few students (negligible proportion) report that the teachers' preparation was not satisfactory. |


| 3. How well were the teachers able to communicate? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 60.00 \\ 50.00 \\ 40.00 \\ 30.00 \\ 20.00 \\ 10.00 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | 1.93 <br>  |  | The communication skills of the teacher are appreciated by $55 \%$ of the students as always effective. Nearly $24 \%$ students report it to be effective sometimes; and $18.67 \%$ report it to be just satisfactory. The remaining $2 \%$ report the teachers' communication to be generally ineffective and poor. |
| 4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \\ 40.00 \\ 30.00 \\ 20.00 \\ 10.00 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\stackrel{5}{5}+{ }_{\text {Fair }}$ | $\underbrace{0.86}_{\text {Poor }}$ | The teachers'approach to teaching has been appreciated as very good ( $40.45 \%$ ) and excellent ( $26.82 \%$ ) by nearly two-thirds of the students. While $26.5 \%$ have reported to be good, $5.36 \%$ have reported it to be fair and less than $1 \%$ as poor. Thus, the overall teaching is appreciated by the students. |
| 5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 60.00 \\ 40.00 \\ 20.00 \\ \hline 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\frac{1.50}{\frac{2}{2}}$ | 0.64 <br>  | The internal assessment of students is generally considered as fair (more than $90 \%$ ) by majority of the students. |
| 6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 40.00 \\ 30.00 \\ 20.00 \\ 10.00 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ |  | 7.30 <br>  |  | Teachers discuss the performacne of the students in internal assignments/ examinations with the students. $72 \%$ of the studetns report that teachers either usually or everytime bring to their notice their performance in the internal examinations. |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 7. The Department/University takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 32.62 <br>  | 25.64 <br> 듕 | 24.14 <br>  | 8.6 |  | That internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students is a regular phenomenon is stated by $32.62 \%$ of students; $25.64 \%$ say it is often and $24.14 \%$ of students say it is sometimes. That $8.69 \%$ students say it is rare and another $8.91 \%$ say it is never done seriously considered by the Departments and the University and efforts need to be made to start such student centric activities. |
| 8. The teaching and mentoring process in your University facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.00 45.00 40.00 35.00 30.00 25.00 20.00 15.00 10.00 5.00 0.00 | 28.43 <br>  | 44.42 <br> $\stackrel{\overline{\mathrm{N}}}{\substack{\text { B }}}$ |  |  | $\frac{0.86}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{u}}}$ | Promoting cognitive, social and emotional growth through mentoring is undertaken by the teachers. $28.43 \%$ of students report it happens significantly; $44.42 \%$ say it happens in asatisfactory (very well) manner; $22.21 \%$ say it happens moderately. Only $4.08 \%$ are of the opinion that it happens marginally and less than $1 \%$ say it doesn't happen at all. This is another area where a little more effort is needed on the part of the teachers. |

The subsequent eight questions deal with the education ambience in the University towards skill enhancement of the students.

| 9. The University provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60.00 50.00 40.00 30.00 20.00 10.00 0.00 | Strongly agree |  | $\underbrace{\square}_{\text {Neutral }}$ | $\underset{\text { Disagree }}{\stackrel{2.58}{\square}}$ | $\qquad$ <br> 1.07 <br> Strongly disagree | The University offers multiple programmes and activities to enable the students to learn and grow. More than $80 \%$ of students agree with this, out of whom $34.12 \%$ strongly agree. While $11.80 \%$ of students have a neutral opinion in this regard, about $3.5 \%$ of the students do not think such opportunities are provided by the University. |


| 10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50.00 40.00 30.00 20.00 10.00 0.00 |  |  | $12$ | 43 |  | The programme and course outcomes and the expected competencies to be attained are informed to the students by the teachers. Nearly $90 \%$ of the students reply in the affirmative. Students, therefore, know what they are studying and which outcomes they are expected to learn at the end of the programme/course. |
| 11. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 00 . \\ 50 . \\ 40 . \\ 30 . \\ 20 . \\ 10 . \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\square$ | 2.79 | 0.43 | The teachers make all out efforts to impart the students the knowledge as specified in the curriculum. In this context, nearly $90 \%$ of the students reply that teachers use examples and practical applications to make the students understand the concepts. However, nearly $3 \%$ of the students are not happy about this aspect. |
| 12. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| 18. Percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \\ 40.00 \\ 30.00 \\ 20.00 \\ 10.00 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 39.81 <br> Above 90\% |  |  | $76.61 \%$ of students report that more than $70 \%$ of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching. Thus, use of ICT gadgets is quite satisfactory. |
| 19. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your University is very good |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 60.00 \\ 50.00 \\ 40.08 \\ 30.00 \\ 10.08 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $36.37$ |  |  | The overall quality of teaching-learning process in the University is supposed to be good as strongly agreed to by $36.37 \%$ of students; agreed by $49.25 \%$ of students and about only $2 \%$ disagreeing. |

Thus, the stronger aspects of teaching-learning process as revealed by the SSS are:

- Overall good quality of teaching
- Extent of coverage of syllabus in the class room
- Usage of ICT gadgets by the teachers
- Imparting soft skills to the students
- Use of experiential learning and problem-solving approach
- Informing students about the objectives and outcomes of the programmes and courses
- Fairness in evaluation and discussing the progress of the student through counselling
Similarly, the weaker aspects of teaching-learning process as revealed by the SSS are:
- Student engagement in review, monitoring and evaluation of teaching learning process
- Promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities
- Teachers identifying the weaknesses of the students and encouraging them to overcome the same and face newer challenges
The suggestions made by the students also revolve around these above weaknesses, some of the suggestions are:
- More interaction and increased coordination between students and teachers
- Frequent revision of syllabi to incorporate the updated aspects
- Practical knowledge in the related field
- Appointment of additional teaching and non-teaching staff
- Seminar should be compulsory for every student so that it encourages the students to be more active.
- Appointing a placement manager and conducting campus interview
- Conveyance to departments located at a distant place
- Improvement in laboratory facilities
- Need qualitative classroom and infrastructure,proper and clean rest room facilities
- Skill development programmes
- Most of the OEC courses are useless, classes, attendance and exams arenot conducted properly, hence cancel those.
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